



MEMO

TO: Stakeholder Working Group
FROM: Abbot Flatt, Associate Transportation Planner
RE: Alternative Performance Measures in Development Review
DATE: April 27, 2016

The Stakeholder Working Group (SWG) recommended five performance measures to be used during the development review process. County staff has been working to understand how these measures can be used and the potential impacts to developers. This memo summarizes the key findings since the February 3, 2016 SWG and proposes a new timeline to implementing a suite of performance measures.

Alternative Performance Measures Updates

1. **February 3, 2016 Stakeholder Working Group:** The SWG reviewed the TWG recommended performance measures in their last meeting. The SWG supported moving forward with five performance measures for the auto, bike, pedestrian and transit systems.
2. **April 7, 2016 Technical Working Group:** The TWG discussed the following issues presented by county staff on each of the performance measures:
 - a. **Pedestrian:**
 - i. **Modified Pedestrian Level of Service:** Because of the difficulty in establishing proportionality and requiring offsite pedestrian improvements, it is unlikely that a standard is the best approach for this measure. With less effort, the same outcome can be achieved through a **pedestrian system completeness** review.
 - ii. **Crossing Review:** Implementation seems feasible.
 - iii. **Accessibility to Transit:** Implementation seems feasible.
 - b. **Bicycle:**
 - i. **Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress:** Similarly to above, because of the difficulty in establishing proportionality and requiring offsite bicycle improvements, it is unlikely that a standard is the best approach for this measure. With less effort, the same outcome can be achieved through a **bicycle system completeness** review.
 - c. **Auto:**
 - i. **Volume-to-Capacity:** Implementation is feasible.
 - ii. **Motor Vehicle Queuing:** Implementation is feasible.
 - d. **All:**
 - i. **Safety:** Staff is discussing options to have a consultant review and test this because of its complexity and the lack of staff time.
3. **Performance Measures Implementation Working Group:** County staff formed a working group to better understand the recommended performance measures. The working group determined that it

is not reasonable to implement the proposed performance measures within the timeline of the CRC Connections project. Additionally, the project scope is to analyze the study area of the Clackamas Regional Center Design area and not the entire urban unincorporated area. With the SWG and TWG recommendation to implement additional measures, county staff proposes the attached timeline.

Next Steps

The SWG will discuss this proposal and the Project Management Team will integrate their comments into this process. The concept of additional performance measures will be presented to the Planning Commission.